

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,376	KUCHINSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Navneet K. Ahluwalia	2166	

All Participants:

(1) Navneet K. Ahluwalia.

(2) Allan W. Cannon (Reg.No 34,977).

Date of Interview: 21 September 2006

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 5:00pm

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-3,20- 22, 30,32, 38,49,61, 63, 65 - 66, 68

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MOHAMMAD ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The interview was conducted to resolve 101 issues and significant amendments were considered that placed the application in condition for allowance. Elements of claims 2 and 3 were incorporated into claim 1 and parallel changes were made for all the other independent claims. The amendments made overcome the Double Patenting Rejection.